## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

٦	United States of A	America	)			
V.			) Case No:	DNCW596CR000014-001		
EDDIE COLUMBUS DEBERRY			USM No:	12648-058		
Date of Original	Judgment:	November 10, 1997	)			
Date of Last Amended Judgment: February 4, 2009		Ross Richardson				
			Defendant's A	Defendant's Attorney		
(	Order Regarding	g Motion for Sentence R	eduction Pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C.	. § 3582(c)(2)	
§ 3582(c)(2) for a subsequently bee § 994(u), and have	a reduction in the on lowered and ma wing considered s	defendant  the Directo term of imprisonment im ade retroactive by the Uniuch motion, and taking in in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a),	posed based on ted States Sente to account the p	a guideline sente ncing Commissio olicy statement se	ncing range that has on pursuant to 28 U.S.C. et forth at USSG §1B1.10	
IT IS ORDERE	<b>D</b> that the motion	ı is:				
□ DENI	IED. ■ GRAN	NTED and the defendant's			imprisonment (as reflected in Time served, plus 10 days	
I COURT DET	FERMINATION	OF CHIDELINE RAN	GF (Prior to Any	Departures)		
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG Original Offense Level: 37			•	ffense Level:	33	
Criminal History Category: III				story Category:	III	
Original Guidelir	ne Range: 262	2-327 months	Amended G	uideline Range:	168-210 months	
<ul> <li>The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.</li> <li>Other (explain):</li> </ul>						
Upon release from incested the local Reside	<b>DDITIONAL COMMENTS</b> release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to e from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to cal Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of S. Probation Officer.					
Except as provide	ed above, all prov	visions of the judgment da	ited <u>Novembe</u>	<u>r 10, 1997</u> sh	all remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORDE	CRED.					
Order Date: <u>.</u>	January 3, 2012	<u>.                                    </u>	Mu	lay	Voorheus	
Effective Date: _	January 3, 2012 (if different from or	rder date)	Richard	L. Voorhees		

United States District Judge